Hibernoma: case report of a rare lipomatous tumor

Dear Editor,

We report the case of a 24-year-old female, Fitzpatrick phototype V, referred to our Dermatology Department for an asymptomatic mass in her left dorsal region. The patient reported a slow growth of this mass over several years. Physical examination revealed a palpable, soft, subcutaneous tumor in the left dorsal region, without apparent involvement of the superjacent skin, which was painless on palpation. The remainder of the examination was otherwise normal.

A high-resolution thoracic computed tomography performed one year before, in the context of an episode of asthma exacerbation, had revealed a large, low-density, subcutaneous nodule in the referred topography (Fig. 1A). Also, an ultrasound-guided core needle biopsy (Fig. 1B) of this well-defined, slightly hyperechoic, subcutaneous mass identified a neoplasm of globular cells, some with multivacuolated cytoplasm and others with granular, eosiophilic cytoplasm, without nuclear atypia.

Considering this, we performed a complete surgical tumor resection, under local anesthesia, in an uneventful procedure (Fig. 2A). The tumor measured approximately 60 × 50 × 20 mm, had a gelatinous external surface and, on section, showed a soft consistency and a brownish coloration (Fig. 2A and B). The histopathological examination revealed a hypodermic tumor, involved by a thin fibrous capsule, constituted by adipocytes with granular, eosiophilic cytoplasms, without cytologic atypia, numerous multi-vacuolated adipocytes and some univacuolated cells, establishing the definite diagnosis of a hibernoma (Fig. 3). The patient recovered fully after surgery, without tumor recurrence after six months of follow-up.

Hibernomas are rare, benign soft-tissue tumors arising from vestigial brown fat, which can be located in the subcutaneous tissue, the skeletal muscle, or the intermuscular fascia.1,2 There are four histological variants of hibernoma: typical (82%), myxoid (9%), lipoma-like (7%), and spindle-cell (2%).1 Hibernomas vary in size (1–24 cm, average dimension 9.3 cm) and location, occurring most commonly in the thigh, peri- and interscapular region, neck, arm, abdominal cavity, and retroperitoneum, and they are typically highly vascularized.1,3-5 They are most often diagnosed in adults (mean age 38 years).1

These lipomatous tumors generally present either as slow-growing, painless, soft, palpable and mobile masses, or as incidentalomas in imaging studies.1,3-5 Symptoms secondary to compression of adjacent structures can also develop due to their growth.1,3,4 Differential diagnosis is not always straightforward, and includes not only benign soft-tissue neoplasms (like atypical lipomas, hemangiomas, and angiolipomas) but also malignant, aggressive tumors (namely well-differentiated liposarcomas, myxoid liposarcomas, and rhabdomyosarcomas).1,2 In fact, hibernomas can mimic these other tumors clinically, imagiologically, and even histologically, considering some similar features in biopsy specimens.1-5

Histopathological examination of the tumor following complete surgical excision, which is curative, is essential for confirming the diagnosis.1,4,5
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Figure 1  Imagiological features of the tumor: A, High-resolution thoracic computed tomography revealing a large, low-density, subcutaneous nodule in the left dorsal region (red arrow); B, Ultrasound revealing a well-defined, slightly hyperechoic, subcutaneous mass in the left dorsal region (red arrow).

Figure 2  Macroscopic features of the tumor: A, During surgical tumor resection, under local anesthesia; B, After complete excision, the tumor measured approximately 60 × 50 × 20 mm, had a gelatinous external surface and, on section, showed a soft consistency and a brownish coloration.

Figure 3  Microscopic features of the tumor: The histopathological examination (Hematoxylin & eosin: ×10, ×40, ×200) of the surgical specimen revealed a hypodermic tumor, involved by a thin fibrous capsule, constituted by adipocytes with granular, eosinophilic cytoplasm, without cytologic atypia, numerous multi-vacuolated adipocytes, and some uni-vacuolated cells, establishing the definitive diagnosis of hibernoma.
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Urticaria multiforme: a case report in an infant\textsuperscript{a,b,c}

Dear Editor,

Urticaria multiforme (UM) is an uncommon benign cutaneous hypersensitivity that occurs mainly in pediatric patients.\textsuperscript{1,2} It is characterized by annular lesions with a violaceous center, and may be accompanied by short-term fever, as well as by hand and foot edema.\textsuperscript{1,3} It is a poorly recognized condition, mainly due to lack of reports in the literature. Furthermore, it is an important differential diagnosis for erythema multiforme.

A female infant was born at 31 weeks due to intrauterine growth restriction. After receiving the meningococcal and pneumococcal vaccines when she was 4 months old, annular macules with erythematous borders and red-frosted centers appeared (Fig. 1). The lesions presented an ephemeral character (24h), with new macules appearing concomitantly. Due to age, it was not possible to evaluate pruritus. The diagnostic hypotheses were urticaria multiforme and childhood annular erythema. A biopsy was conducted, revealing preserved epidermis, a superficial and deep perivascular and interstitial inflammatory lymphohistiocytic infiltrate permeated with some eosinophils. There was no sign of vasculitis, corroborating with the diagnosis of urticaria multiforme (Figs. 2 and 3). Antihistamine treatment (hydroxyzine 0.5 mg/kg every 12 h) was started. After ten days, the condition resolved completely, without residual lesions.

Originally described in 1997 by Tamayo-Sanchez et al. under the name of acute annular urticaria,\textsuperscript{1} it had its name changed to urticaria multiforme in 2007 due to clinical similarity with erythema multiforme,\textsuperscript{2} as described by Shah et al., when the authors reported 19 of these cases. They also proposed that this condition is a variant of common urticaria, since the patients presented pruritus and dermographism.\textsuperscript{1,3}

With few cases in the literature, the etiology is poorly understood. In most cases there is a temporal relationship with infections (mycoplasma, adenovirus, streptococci, Epstein–Barr), medications (mainly antibiotics), and vaccinations. In 2016, Sempau et al. found an association with previous use of amoxcillin.\textsuperscript{3} Specifically in neonates, there has been proven infection by herpes virus 6, and decreased viral load accompanied by clinical improvement of the patient.\textsuperscript{4}

The most affected age range is between 4 months and 4 years, although newborns and adolescents may also develop the condition. Cutaneous lesions begin as urticarial lesions that rapidly expand in a centrifugal manner and become annular, forming coalescent polycyclic plaques with violet color.

\textsuperscript{a} How to cite this article: Luce MCA, Souza BC, Camargo MFVC, Valente NYS. Urticaria multiforme: a case report in an infant. An Bras Dermatol. 2019;94:628–30.

\textsuperscript{b} Study conducted at the Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.